G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

Cto 40-17 Cls 1-6

Revenue

1918-19

(Cto)

File No. 70-18

Serial Nos. 16-8

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 16-8

Part B for January 1919.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding application from one Mir J. Appa Rao & enquiring whether the copies of documents sent with the application are properly certified.

Sl 8) To, The J. A Resident
pol No 6388 dy 4-1-1919

States that the Marathi document & other copies cannot be deemed to have been certified in due form.

D. G. Muller
15/1/1919

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

G. R. S. III—11 1918

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts)

NOTES.

File No. 70-18

FILE HEADING.

Residency enquiry as to whether certain copies of public documents granted by Dr. Mayle, Chief Drug Dt, are properly certified

From

The F. A. Resident.

No. 6164 Dated 4-9-1918 Received 5-9-1918

Diary No. 3847	Enclos. 3 Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards copy of application from one Mr. D. Appa Rao & enquires whether the copies of documents sent therewith are properly certified

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Subd. with a draft to Registrar chiefs Court requesting him to furnish the information required

7/9.

10/9/18

Issued 10/9/18

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 1232—GPB—20,000—18-11-18

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Cls File No. 70 of 1918

Serial No.	
2	To, The Registrar, Chief Court No. G 5256 dt 12-9-18 Forwards the above 6 millima whether the copies may be deemed to have been Certified in the mann Commonly in use in the State
Sms A dt 13/9/18	
3	From, The Registrar Chief Cour D2 Dis 444 dt 25/28-10-18 Suggests that it may be ascertained in the Revenue department whether the authen tication is made in the manne usual in the Revenue depart ment. Subd for orders. May the file be forwarded to the Revenue Secretariat for noting if the certificate are duly authenticated? SG 5/11 Draft & the Rev Govt may be informed accordingly. SG 5/11 Draft subd. SG 5/11 Issued accordingly. SG 5/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-18—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Cls File No. 70 of 1918

Serial No.	
4	To, The Rev. Comms G 8766 Dt 7-11-18 Forwards a Copy of letter No. 6164 of 4-9-18 from the Residency with its enclosure and requests to intimate whether the certain Copies of public documents have been certified in the manner Commonly in use in the Revenue Department.
5	From the Rev. comms. Dy Dis 37281 Dt 10/4-12-1918 States that the copies of the documents cannot be considered to have been certified in due form since they have not been signed by the Officer in charge of the documents viz the Dy Commr. of Chitaldrug in the present case The Mahratti document referred to in the letter has not been received. Draft is sent apo calling for the same. Subd for orders. SG 17/12

Sus Pt 15/11

Issued 17/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-18—S.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C5 File No. 70 of 1918.

Serial No.	
	The Rev Commr
6	GM 962 of 18-12-18
	States that the Marathi document
	sent along with the letter has not been
	received and requests to forward.
7	From the Rev Commr
	or/s No 1162-Gt Dt 20-12-1918
	States that the Marathi document was
	returned with his office No D.1. Dis 372
	GM 10-19
	the Marathi document had
	been kept back in the Rev. Depart-
	ing Section on the idea that it was
	some of their petition. It has
	since been obtained. Draft to
	the Rev. is put up
	Submd for orders.
	26/12 Issue
	GMH
	26.12.18
8	To the E.A. Resident
	Pol 638 of 4-1-19
	States that the Marathi document and other
	Copies cannot be deemed to have been certified
	in due form

RIAT.
MADRAS.
10 JAN 1919

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

No. 6164 Enclos. 3

Civil and Military Station,

Bangalore, 4th September 1918.

CHSK 4-9-18 JM

Fr: no 3312 5-9-18

U R G E N T.

From

Major E. H. S. James,

First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.



To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

CS 2-10 JM

Sir,

x With enclosures in original, return requested.

I am directed to forward a copy of an application dated 23rd August 1918, from one Mr. T. Appu Rao and to enquire whether the documents are certified in the - - manner commonly in use in the Mysore State for the Certificate of copies of public documents. It is observed that one of the documents bears no seal.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

First Assistant Resident.

JM

S. 22.—R. P. B.—5-1916.—10,000.

BJ

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section 65
File No. 70-18
Serial No. 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
, *the* *191* .

No. G 5256 Encls 4

Date of issue..12-9-18

To
The Registrar
Chief Court of Mysore

Signed by..Asst Gs Dyer

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith copy of letter no 6164 dy 4-9-18 with its enclosures from the F. A. Resident in Mysore regarding the authentication of certain copies of public documents & to request you to be so good as to intimate whether the copies may be deemed

' over.

to have been certified in the manner commonly in use in the State.

I have etc.

W 10/9
For chief secy

86
7/9.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

W D S C—GPB—60,000—15-11-16

A2 Dis: No 4444 18-19 Cts: 4

Chiefs 29.10.18

Cts marked Government

DIARY No. 2439/18

19 -10

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Courts 70-18

Dated 25th October 1918.

28

From

N. T. GOPALIENGAR, Esq., B. A., LL. B.,
REGISTRAR OF THE CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,
B A N G A L O R E.

Dis 30/10/18 2-40

13438

To

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER, Esq., B. A.,
CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
B A N G A L O R E.

S i r,

In returning herewith the copies received with your letter No. G.5356/Cts.70-18-2 dated the 12th September 1918 together with a copy of the Chitaldrug District Magistrate's No. R. O. C. J.177 of 1918-19 dated the 28th September 1918, I am directed to state that the District Magistrate does not seem to answer the question whether the authentication of the copies is in the usual manner. He states that the copies were issued on the revenue side of his office, but if so, it is not clear why the one issued in June last is authenticated by the Judicial Head Munshi of the District Magistrate's office.

I am to suggest that as both the copies seem to have been issued on the revenue side, it may be ascertained in the Revenue Department whether the authentication is

made

made in the manner usual in the Revenue Department.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

REGISTRAR.

MSC.

Letter No. Ref. on C.J. 177 of 1918-19, dated the 8/~~10th~~ October 1918, from the District Magistrate of Chitaldrug, to the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to your docket No. R.O.C. 343 of 1918-19, dated 2nd Instant, I have the honour to return herewith the enclosure received with your No. R.O.C. 343, dated 25th September 1918.

Sd/- C.Suryanarayana Sastry,
For District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug District.

" COPY "

Registrar.

N.G.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section C5
File No. 70-14
Serial No. 4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
, *the* 191

No. G 8166 (4)

Date of issue 7.11.18

Signed by M.V. Rajagopal

To

The Resr. Commr.
in Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith copy of letter No. 6164 G 4-9-1918 with its enclosures from the Residency regarding the authentication of certain copies of public documents and to requ

over.

you to be so good as to intimate to this office whether the copies may be deemed to have been certified in the manner commonly in use in the Revenue Department.

I have etc.

GS 5/11
For Chief Clk

860
5/11

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

W D86—GPB—60,000—15-11-16

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

No. R.Dis 372 22
3

Bangalore, 10th December 1918.

FROM

K. R. Srinivasiengar, Esquire, M.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Chief
The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
~~*Department.*~~

SIR,

In reply to your letter No.G.8166/Cts.70-18-4 dated 7th November 1918, requesting to be informed whether the copies of documents attached thereto have been certified in the manner commor in use in the Revenue Department, I have the honor to state that both the documents are in the custody of the Deputy Commissioner of the Chitaldrug District. The copy of the Mahratti document has been -- signed by the District Sheristedar and does not bear the seal of the Deputy Commissioner. The copy of the other document has been signed by the Judicial Head Munshi of the Deputy Commissioner's office. As under the rules (Section 76 of the Indian Evidence Act and Rule 110 of the Revenue Rules) the certificates have to be signed by the Officer in charge of the documents, the copies of the documents received with your letter cannot be considered to have been certified in due form.

2. The enclosures to your letter are returned herewith.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

R.T.R.
BS

R. C. 4

1713

From

The *Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.*

To Chief

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Department.

Dated 10th December 1918.

Despatched 11-

Received

General No. RDN 372-18

Enclosure No. 2 + one -

SUBJECT.

Furnishing certain particulars re the copies of documents to be certified in the manner commonly in use in the Revenue Department.

...

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 18/12/18

Signed by M. S.

Section C15
File No. 70-78
Serial No. 6

No. G 11912

To

The Dept. Commr - in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. Dg Dis 372 dt 10/11 - 12 - 1918 ~~xx~~ ~~forwarding your~~ furnishing your opinion on the authentication of certain certified copies of public documents, I am directed to state that the copy of the Mahratti document

over.

18/12/18

15

Sent along with this
office letter of the 7th Nov 1918
has not been received
in this office along with
your letter under reply
~~to~~ request you to forward
the same at an early date.

I have etc.

for Chief Secy.

GBV
17/11/18

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 86—GPB—60,000—15-11-16

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

No. D2Dv 11624Q.

Bangalore, 20th December 1918.

DIARY No. 9.8157

FROM

K.R. Srinivasaiengar Esq., M.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Chief
The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
~~*Department.*~~

SIR,

In reply to your letter No.G.11912/Cts.70-18-6 dated the 18th instant, I have the honor to state that the marginally noted papers had been received with your letter No.G.8166/Cts.70-18-4 dated 7th November 1918. Of these, the first three including the Mahratti document were returned with this office letter No.D.1.Dis.372/G1.18-19 dated 10th instant as noted thereon and in the delivery book. A careful search has been made and the document is not forthcoming here. I request that your office may be kindly instructed to search for the document among the papers received from this office on the 11th instant.

1. Kannada document (copy)
2. Mahratti document (copy)
3. Copy of Advocate Mr.Appu Rao's application to the Hon'ble the British Resident in Mysore.
4. Copy of letter No.6164 dated 4-9-1918 from the First Assistant to the Hon'ble the British Resident in Mysore.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

H.V.

R. C. 4

From

The *Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.*

18230

To Chief
The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
~~Department.~~

Dated 20th December 1918.

Despatched

Received

General No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Stating that the copy of the Mahratti document referred to in his letter No.G.11912/Cts.70-18-6 dated 18-12-1918 is not forthcoming in this office etc.

G. R. S. Vl—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section C5
File No. 70-18
Serial No. 8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
, *the* *191*

No. 951.638/Enclosures

Date of issue 6-1-1915

To The J. A. Resident
The Dt. Mandya

Signed by T. S. Balasundaram Esq,

Sir —

With reference to your letter No. 6164 of 4-9-1914 enquiring whether the documents sent therewith are certified in the manner commonly in use in the Mysore State, I am directed to State that the marriage document has been signed by the Dt. Sheristedar &

over.

does not bear the seal
of the Dy. Comrs. &
that the copy of the
other document has
been signed by the Judicial
Head Assistant of the
D. C's office. Under
the Rules the certificates
have to be signed by
the Officer in charge
of the documents, who
is the Dy. Comrs
of Chitaldrug Dt. in
the present case.

The copies of docu-
ments in question
cannot therefore be
deemed to have been
certified in due form.

The original enclosures
received with your letter
are returned herewith

I have &c.

for Chief Secy.

List of enclosures.

original enclosures 3

Copied by

Examined by

W. 1580—GP.—60,000—15-11-16

23/12